

United States District Court  
Southern District of Texas  
Congressional Christ: Division

United States Courts  
Southern District of Texas  
FILED

JUN 10 2021

Nathan Gehsner, Clerk of Court

Bobbie Lee Haverkamp  
Plaintiff

vs.

Civil Action

2:17-CV-18

Dr. Owens Murray, et al  
Director of CMHC Policy  
UTMB

Defendants

Motion to Lift the  
Protective Order

To the Honorable Judge of Said Court,

Now Comes,

Ms Bobbie AKA the Plaintiff in the above  
styled civil action and asked for the  
protective order to be lifted and the Defendants  
order to answer the Civil Action

## Argument

Your Honor, the Plaintiff requests that the Protective Order be lifted and is moot because of the following argument.

It is well established that the Civil Action began in 2017 and has bounced around several issues unrelated to the central issue of Equal Protection for Texas Transgendered in prison that involves adequate Medical Care for Gender Dysphoria.

Limited Discovery revealed that it is the ideologies of Dr. Owen Murray, the CMHCA and policies created by them that has denied Equal Protection of Medical Care for Gender Dysphoria.

Years ago in a follow-up examination following thyroid surgery, a female Doctor from Holland entered the room, notebook in hand, never looked up and said, "HAVERKAMP, that's German. My grandmother and mother suffered under the Nazi Heel".

The Plaintiff, "I'm American, we had Haverkamps in Patton Third Army. If you hadn't of blown the dikes we would of gotten there sooner!"

The Doctor, "The Nazi's blew the dikes!!"

Your Honor, the doctors did as Ferdinand Slip, it wasn't her intentions to discuss the ideologies of different nations that affected her family. The doctors apology was accepted and we moved on.

The example is one point, the jury will be faced with ideologies of Dr. Murray and others. The entire ~~workings~~ for the treatment of Gender Dysphoria operate under a single policy G-51.11.

The 5th Circuit in Gibson, *supra* page 5 made it a point to point out there is dispute if the Policy forbids sex-reassignment surgery or is merely silent about it, doctors have denied Gibson's request because the Policy does not designate [sex-reassignment] as part of the treatment protocol for Gender Identity Disorders.

Your Honor, Dr. Murray is playing a "Cat and Mouse" game, basically when you have two different interpretations of a Medical Policy — there is no Medical Policy.

As the policy stands, it is for public consumption, yet in reality we have a

policy that is open to legal action for inadequate medical care for Gender Dysphoria.

Your Honor, the protective order can safely be lifted, we have all the Defendants in one neat package — Dr. Murray, the CMHCs with the policies they approved.

In reality, when Doctors won't prescribe SRS or Significant Relief because of policy, then we are at the very core of Defendants where the inadequate medical treatments stem from.

The Defendants really have no more legal excuses to delay answering the Civ. / Actions and we arrive at where § 51.11 is the issue.

The Plaintiff concedes that expert testimony will be needed for the jury but everything remains in house with a very narrowed group of Defendants.

Your Honor, the protective order should be lifted and the Civ. / Actions answered.

So Prays the Plaintiff  
The Belknap

June 7, 2021

## Certification of Service.

Your Honor, this was not served on Corbello in the Texas Attorney General's Office.

The Plaintiff will assure the Court the longer Corbello doesn't have to answer the Civil Action, it serves her purpose of delay.

Says the Plaintiff  
Ms Bobbie

6-7-2021